

**SONOMA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL PRESERVATION  
AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT**

**MEMORANDUM ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
AND  
REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS**

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2015**

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**SONOMA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL PRESERVATION AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT  
MEMORANDUM ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
AND  
REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS**

**For the Year Ended June 30, 2015**

**Table of Contents**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b><i>Memorandum on Internal Control</i></b> .....	1
Schedule of Other Matters .....	3
<b><i>Required Communications</i></b> .....	5
<b>Significant Audit Findings</b> .....	5
Accounting Policies .....	5
Unusual Transactions, Controversial or Emerging Areas .....	5
Accounting Estimates .....	6
Disclosures .....	6
Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit.....	6
Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements.....	6
Disagreements with Management.....	6
Management Representations .....	6
Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants .....	7
Other Audit Findings or Issues.....	7
<b>Other Information Accompanying the Financial Statements</b> .....	7

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

## MEMORANDUM ON INTERNAL CONTROL

Board of Directors  
Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District  
Santa Rosa, California

In planning and performing our audit of the basic financial statements of the Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. In addition, because of inherent limitations in internal control, including the possibility of management override of controls, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected by such controls. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Included in the Schedule of Other Matters are recommendations not meeting the above definitions that we believe are opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, Board of Directors, others within the organization, and agencies and pass-through entities requiring compliance with *Government Auditing Standards*, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Maze + Associates". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Pleasant Hill, California  
October 19, 2015

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

## MEMORANDUM ON INTERNAL CONTROL

### SCHEDULE OF OTHER MATTERS

#### 2015-01: UPCOMING GASB

There are a number of new accounting and financial reporting pronouncements that have been issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, the authoritative standard setting body in the United States. We have included the one that will have an impact on the District's financial statements, effective in fiscal year ending June 30, 2016, to keep you informed about these developments on a proactive basis.

**The following pronouncement is effective in fiscal year 2015/16:**

#### **GASB 72 – *Fair Value Measurement and Application***

This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of *fair value* is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

#### **Fair Value Measurement**

Fair value is described as an exit price. Fair value measurements assume a transaction takes place in a government's principal market, or a government's most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market. The fair value also should be measured assuming that general market participants would act in their economic best interest. Fair value should not be adjusted for transaction costs.

To determine a fair value measurement, a government should consider the unit of account of the asset or liability. The unit of account refers to the level at which an asset or a liability is aggregated or disaggregated for measurement, recognition, or disclosure purposes as provided by the accounting standards. For example, the unit of account for investments held in a brokerage account is each individual security, whereas the unit of account for an investment in a mutual fund is each share in the mutual fund held by a government.

This Statement requires a government to use valuation techniques that are appropriate under the circumstances and for which sufficient data are available to measure fair value. The techniques should be consistent with one or more of the following approaches: the market approach, the cost approach, or the income approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets, liabilities, or a group of assets and liabilities. The cost approach reflects the amount that would be required to replace the present service capacity of an asset. The income approach converts future amounts (such as cash flows or income and expenses) to a single current (discounted) amount. Valuation techniques should be applied consistently, though a change may be appropriate in certain circumstances. Valuation techniques maximize the use of relevant observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

This Statement establishes a hierarchy of inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. That hierarchy has three levels. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs—other than quoted prices—included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Finally, Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs, such as management's assumption of the default rate among underlying mortgages of a mortgage-backed security.

## MEMORANDUM ON INTERNAL CONTROL

### SCHEDULE OF OTHER MATTERS

A fair value measurement takes into account the highest and best use for a nonfinancial asset. A fair value measurement of a liability assumes that the liability would be transferred to a market participant and not settled with the counterparty. In the absence of a quoted price for the transfer of an identical or similar liability and if another party holds an identical item as an asset, a government should be able to use the fair value of that asset to measure the fair value of the liability.

This Statement requires additional analysis of fair value if the volume or level of activity for an asset or liability has significantly decreased. It also requires identification of transactions that are not orderly. Quoted prices provided by third parties are permitted, as long as a government determines that those quoted prices are developed in accordance with the provisions of this Statement.

#### **Fair Value Application**

This Statement generally requires investments to be measured at fair value. An *investment* is defined as a security or other asset that (a) a government holds primarily for the purpose of income or profit and (b) has a present service capacity based solely on its ability to generate cash or to be sold to generate cash. Investments not measured at fair value continue to include, for example, money market investments, 2a7-like external investment pools, investments in life insurance contracts, common stock meeting the criteria for applying the equity method, unallocated insurance contracts, and synthetic guaranteed investment contracts. A government is permitted in certain circumstances to establish the fair value of an investment that does not have a readily determinable fair value by using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) of the investment.

This Statement requires measurement at acquisition value (an entry price) for donated capital assets, donated works of art, historical treasures, and similar assets and capital assets received in a service concession arrangement. These assets were previously required to be measured at fair value.

#### **Fair Value Disclosures**

This Statement requires disclosures to be made about fair value measurements, the level of fair value hierarchy, and valuation techniques. Governments should organize these disclosures by type of asset or liability reported at fair value. It also requires additional disclosures regarding investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent).

#### **How the Changes in This Statement Improve Financial Reporting**

The requirements of this Statement will enhance comparability of financial statements among governments by requiring measurement of certain assets and liabilities at fair value using a consistent and more detailed definition of fair value and accepted valuation techniques. This Statement also will enhance fair value application guidance and related disclosures in order to provide information to financial statement users about the impact of fair value measurements on a government's financial position.

## REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS

Board of Directors  
Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District  
Santa Rosa, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2015. Professional standards require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit under generally accepted auditing standards.

### **Significant Audit Findings**

#### ***Accounting Policies***

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. The following pronouncements became effective during the year ended June 30, 2015 required a prior period adjustments to the financial statements as discussed in Note 1F to the financial statements:

GASB 68 – *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*

GASB 71 – *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date, an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68*

#### ***Unusual Transactions, Controversial or Emerging Areas***

We noted no transactions entered into by the District during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

### ***Accounting Estimates***

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate(s) affecting the District's financial statements were:

Management's estimate of depreciation is based on useful lives determined by management. These lives have been determined by management based on the expected useful life of assets. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the depreciation estimate and determined that it is reasonable in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Accrued compensated absences is estimated using accumulated unpaid leave hours and hourly pay rates in effect at the end of the fiscal year. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the accrued compensated absences and determined that it is reasonable in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Net pension liability is determined by the Actuary of the Sonoma county Employees' Retirement Association based on a December 31, 2014 measurement date. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the net pension liability and determined that it is reasonable in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

### ***Disclosures***

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

### ***Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit***

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

### ***Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements***

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to each opinion unit's financial statements taken as a whole.

### ***Disagreements with Management***

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

### ***Management Representations***

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in a management representation letter dated October 19, 2015.

### ***Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants***

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a “second opinion” on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the District’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor’s opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

### ***Other Audit Findings or Issues***

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

### **Other Information Accompanying the Financial Statements**

With respect to the supplementary information accompanying the financial statements, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

With respect to the required supplementary information accompanying the financial statements, we applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not express an opinion nor provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

The Introductory and Statistical Sections included as part of the Basic Financial Statements have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we did not express an opinion nor provide any assurance on them.

\*\*\*\*\*

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board and management and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Pleasant Hill, California  
October 19, 2015

This Page Left Intentionally Blank