

SONOMA COUNTY OPEN SPACE DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

Online Meeting Due to Sonoma County's Shelter in Place Order January 27, 2022 | 5:00 pm

MEMBERS PLEASE CALL IF UNABLE TO ATTEND

In accordance with AB 361, Governor Newsom's March 4, 2020 State of Emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Sonoma County Public Health Officer's Recommendation for Teleconferenced Meetings, and the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors Resolution 21-0399, the January 27, 2022 Sonoma County Ag + Open Space Advisory Committee meeting will be held virtually.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY NOT ATTEND THIS MEETING IN PERSON

UPDATE REGARDING VIEWING AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN January 27, 2022 ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

January 27, 2022 Advisory Committee Meeting will be facilitated virtually through Zoom. There will be no option for attending in person. Members of the public can watch or listen to the meeting using one of the following methods:

Join the Zoom meeting on your computer, tablet or smartphone by clicking: https://sonomacounty.zoom.us/j/98316944769?pwd=MURud1o3TDVRWStOSEF5Z25MdElzdz

- If you have the Zoom app or web client, join the meeting using the Password: 778144
- 2. Call-in and listen to the meeting: Dial 1 669 900 9128 Enter meeting ID: 983 1694 4769

PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THE MEETING: You may email public comment to Mariah.Robson@sonoma-county.org. All emailed public comments will be forwarded to all Committee Members and read aloud for the benefit of the public. Please include your name and the relevant agenda item number to which your comment refers. In addition, if you have joined as a member of the public through the Zoom link or by calling in, there will be specific points throughout the meeting during which live public comment may be made via Zoom and phone.

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability which requires an accommodation or an alternative format to assist you in observing and commenting on this meeting, please contact Mariah Robson by email to Mariah.Robson@sonoma-county.org by 12pm Wednesday, January 26, 2022 to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Public Comment
 Comments on items not listed on the agenda. Time is limited to 2 minutes per person/item.
- 3. Approval of Minutes from December 9, 2021 Attachment A
- 4. General Manager's Report
- 5. Advisory Committee Administration Attachment B
 - a) Election of Committee Officers
 - b) Advisory Committee Rules of Procedures
 - c) Ad Hoc Subcommittee Assignments
 - d) Committee Calendar and Roster
- 6. Acquisition Projects Overview
 Curtis Kendall, Acquisition Specialist
- 7. Project Evaluation Criteria Attachment C

Misti Arias, General Manager Jennifer Kuszmar, Acquisition Manager Allison Schichtel, Senior Conservation Planner

- 8. Vital Lands Initiative Implementation Discussion Michelle Whitman, Advisory Committee Chair
- 9. Projects in Negotiations Attachment D
- 10. Announcements from Advisory Committee Members
- 11. Adjournment

Next Scheduled Meeting: February 24, 2022

FUTURE MEETING TOPICS (SUBJECT TO CHANGE)

2/24/22

RCPP/AFA/DOC (Riparian Easements)
Proactive Regional Approach

3/24/22

Stewardship – Nuts & Bolts of Easement Stewardship Community Relations Update

4/28/22

Acquisition Projects Overview Form MGP Subcommittees

6/23/22 Stewardship

7/28/22 Acquisition Projects Overview

9/22/22 Stewardship

10/27/22 Acquisition Projects Overview



SONOMA COUNTY OPEN SPACE DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

UNAPPROVED MINUTES

Virtual Meeting Due to Sonoma County's Shelter in Place Order
December 9, 2021 | 5:00 pm

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Steve Rabinowitsh Don McEnhill Wendy Eliot Jan McFarland Cary Fargo Tawny Tesconi Bill Smith Jesús Guzmán

Paul Martin Curt Nichols Sue Conley

MEMBERS ABSENT:

John Nagle Michelle Whitman Neysa Hinton Kristina Tierney

Brian Barnacle

STAFF PRESENT:

Misti Arias, General Manager; Jennifer Kuszmar, Acquisition Manager; Sheri Emerson, Stewardship Manager; Kim Batchelder, Vegetation Management Coordinator; Allison Schichtel, Senior Conservation Planner; Monica Delmartini, Stewardship Specialist; Amy Ricard, Community Relations Specialist; Sara Ortiz, Administrative Aide; Aldo Mercado, County Counsel; Mariah Robson, Advisory Committee Clerk

Call to Order

Vice Chair Don McEnhill, standing in for Chair Michelle Whitman, called the meeting to order at 5:01 pm.

Public Comment

Vice Chair McEnhill asked for any public comments on items not on the agenda. Susan Kirks had a public comment on Paula Lane Open Space Preserve, requesting support for the badgers that have been misplaced on this property and feels the habitat needs to be restored.

Approval of Minutes from October 28, 2021

Vice Chair McEnhill asked for any comments or revisions regarding the October 28, 2021 minutes. Two corrections were noted: Ms. Whitman did not make the comment on Big Sulphur Creek, it was Wendy Eliot. Also, Bill Smith was listed as absent at the last meeting but he was present. Tawny Tesconi motioned for the minutes to be approved with corrections. Wendy Eliot seconded the motion. All in approval: Steve Rabinowitsh, Curt Nichols, Sue Conley, Cary Fargo, Bill Smith, Don McEnhill, Wendy Eliot, Tawny Tesconi and Paul Martin. Jan McFarland and Jesús Guzmán abstained as they were not present at the October meeting. There were no public comments on the minutes.

General Manager's Report

- Misti Arias, General Manager, announced that they are launching a second round of requests for proposals for the PG&E Vegetation Management Program on December 16, 2021. The application deadline is January 23, 2022. We will be hosting two community outreach and training workshops: January 5, 2022 and January 13, 2022. The proposed budget is up to \$4 million for this round.
- The landowners of El Recreo, 300 acres near Hood Mountain Regional Park off of Highway 12, have accepted our offer to purchase a conservation easement. This project will go to the Board on January 25, 2022.
- We will be reaching out to the members of the Acquisition Subcommittee as well as the
 Matching Grant Subcommittee this month to set up meetings in early 2022. The Acquisition
 Subcommittee will be reviewing draft project evaluation criteria and the MGP
 Subcommittee will be reviewing the 2022 guidelines and proposed schedule.
- On Tuesday, December 7, 2021, Mary Chambers, Agricultural Specialist, Stephanie Tavares-Buhler, Senior Acquisition Specialist, and Ms. Arias, along with others, participated in a webinar hosted by the Sonoma County Community Alliance with Family Farmers (CAFF). The topic was Enhancing Access to Agricultural Lands.
- Audit Services Agreement and the Herbicide Use Report are going to the Board on December 14, 2021.
- Ms. Arias welcomed the newest Advisory Committee member, Jesús Guzmán, to the Advisory Committee. Mr. Guzmán introduced himself to the Advisory Committee members.

Vice Chair McEnhill asked if there were any public comments on the approval of the minutes or the General Managers report out, but there were none.

Protected Lands and Wildfire: Response, Fire Impacts, and Ongoing Efforts
Sheri Emerson, Stewardship Manager, Monica Delmartini, Stewardship Specialist, and Allison
Schichtel, Senior Conservation Planner, introduced the Protected Lands and Wildfire item. They
gave an update on the work relating to wildfire: the properties affected, Ag + Open Space's role in
fire response, current and upcoming land management, planning, and collaboration efforts, and
maps of the fire-affected areas.

Vice Chair McEnhill opened up the meeting for discussion with the Advisory Committee members and members of the public. The members asked questions but there were no public comments.

For more information, please feel free to contact Ms. Emerson, Ms. Delmartini or Ms. Schichtel at Ag + Open Space. The PowerPoint presentation is available upon request.

Vital Lands Initiative Implementation Update

Ms. Arias presented the Vital Lands Initiative Implementation update. She asked for the Advisory Committee's thoughts and feedback. The implementation will focus on measurable priorities moving forward. These will be high level goals and objectives with feasible outcomes, and the workload will be prioritized. A top goal is to conserve 30% of Sonoma County lands by 2030. Since 1991 the Ag + Open Space has preserved 12% of the county. Vital Lands will help to identify and protect the highest priority lands.



The discussion was opened up to Advisory Committee members. Members shared that they would like to be able to think about the presentation and bring it back in January for discussion and to hear more. There was a request to have the PowerPoint presentation be sent out to the members.

Public comment from Susan Kirks, who is in support of the Advisory Committee thinking about the presentation and bringing it back to be agendized for the January meeting. Ms. Kirks asked that the presentation be sent out to her as well.

For more information please feel free to contact Ms. Arias at Ag + Open Space. The PowerPoint presentation is available upon request.

Projects in Negotiations

No questions or comments on Projects in Negotiations.

Announcements from Advisory Committee Members No announcements were made.

Adjournment

Vice Chair McEnhill adjourned the meeting at 6:45.

Next Meeting: January 27, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Mariah Robson

Advisory Committee Secretary



Attachment "B"

DATE: January 27, 2022

TO: Open Space Advisory Committee Members

FROM: Misti Arias, General Manager

SUBJECT: Advisory Committee Administration

a) Election of Officers

Currently the officers are:

Chair Michelle Whitman
Vice-Chair Don McEnhill
Chair Pro Tem Curt Nichols

According to the Committee's Rules of Procedure, election by majority vote of new officers for each position for the coming year should occur at this meeting. Committee members should also review the attached Rules of Procedure.

b) Review and Approve the Advisory Committee Rules of Procedure

c) Proposed Ad Hoc Subcommittees

d) Calendar / Roster

Committee calendar for proposed meeting dates in 2022 and Committee roster are attached.

SONOMA COUNTY OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

RULES OF PROCEDURE

On July 20, 1999, by Resolution No. 99-0962, the Board of Directors of the Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District continued the existence of the Sonoma County Open Space Advisory Committee to render advice and make recommendations on certain matters, to participate in the processing of District real property transactions, and to provide broad based citizen input into the operations of the District.

On April 22, 2008 by Resolution No. 08-0374, the Board of Directors of the Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District amended the above resolution modifying Rule 8.

- **RULE 1:** Regular meetings will be held monthly on the fourth Thursday at 5:00 p.m. at the District offices located at 747 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 100, Santa Rosa, California and announced by public notice outside the District office.
- **RULE 2:** Meetings will be carried out under the terms of Resolution 99-0962 adopted by the District Board of Directors on July 20, 1999, attached to these rules as Exhibit "A".
- RULE 3: The Committee shall elect a Chair, Vice Chair and Chair Pro-tem to serve at the will and pleasure of the Committee. These officers shall serve one year terms of office with elections held at the first meeting of the calendar year. When the Chair is absent, his/her duties shall be assumed by the Vice Chair. If both the Chair and Vice Chair are absent, the Chair Pro Tem shall perform the duties of the Chair.
- **RULE 4:** Meetings will be conducted by the Chair. The Chair shall preserve order and decorum in accordance with these Rules. The Chair's decisions with respect to order shall be appealable by any member to the Committee and the decision of the Committee shall be final.
- **RULE 5:** All questions of law shall be referred to the District's Counsel for an opinion.
- **RULE 6:** District staff shall prepare an agenda and take minutes for each meeting of the Committee. Staff shall, at least 72 hours before a regular meeting, deliver the agenda to Committee members and post the agenda in a location that is freely accessible to members of the public during regular business hours. Staff will consult with the Chair of the Committee on the content of an agenda at least one week prior to the meeting.
- **RULE 7:** Unless otherwise provided by law, the Committee may make any disposition of a matter properly before it that it deems advisable. Committee business will be carried out under the Ralph M. Brown Act.
- **RULE 8:** A quorum shall consist of seven members, but no action of the Committee shall be taken without the concurrence of at least a majority of the quorum; provided, however, that the Committee's minutes may be approved by the Committee Chair after receiving any comments offered by the Committee's members.
- **RULE 9:** A Committee member may initiate voting on a matter by requesting the Chair to call

for the question. Members may vote "aye", "no" or "abstain". A vote of "abstain" does not constitute concurrence and does not constitute a "no" vote.

RULE 10:

Due to the importance of Committee business, Committee members should make every effort to attend regular and occasional special meetings. Committee members should contact the General Manager or designee if they are unable to attend. The Committee may report and recommend to the Board of Directors the removal of any member if, within any calendar year, (a) the member is absent from three or more regular or special meetings without prior notification to the General Manager, or (b) the member is absent from five or more regular or special meetings, with or without prior notification of the General Manager.

RULE 11:

Each agenda shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Committee on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee. The Chair may limit the time of each such address.

RULE 12:

Emergency meetings and special meetings shall be called as provided in Sections 54956 and 54956.5 of the Government Code (Attached as Exhibit "B"). Closed sessions of the full Committee shall not be scheduled nor conducted without prior consultation with the District's Counsel.

RULE 13:

The Committee may, from time to time, create Standing or Ad Hoc Subcommittees or Working Groups to help carry out the Committee's business. No subcommittee or working group shall have more than six members. A Subcommittee/Working Group chairperson will be elected at the first meeting of the calendar year for each Subcommittee/Working Group. The duty of the Chair is to direct Subcommittee/Working Group business and report back to the full Committee. Membership modifications should be addressed to the Chairperson and/or General Manager. With staff's assistance every attempt should be made to balance Subcommittee/ Working Group membership by both geographic and subject-of-interest representation. Apart from Rule 13, the Rules of Procedure shall not apply to the Subcommittees/Working Groups.

RULE 14:

It shall be understood by all Committee members that service on the Committee is voluntary and that no claims shall be made for expenses while traveling to and from or while serving on and carrying out Committee business.

RULE 15:

These rules shall be reviewed by the Committee at the first meeting of each calendar year.

RULE 16:

Presentations shall be made and any action taken by the Working Groups shall be reported at the Advisory Committee monthly meetings.

RULES 11/21/91 Revised 02/23/2007 Revised 04/22/2008 Revised 1/21/2011 Revised 1/27/12 Revised 2/15/12 Revised 7/28/15 Rules Amend Advisory.doc 2001 Rules Amend Advisory.doc

Acquisition Subcommittee Members 2022

Neysa Hinton
Brian Barnacle
Sue Conley
Don McEnhill
Jan McFarland
Wendy Eliot

2022 Ag Subcommittee Members

John Nagle
Paul Martin
Sue Conley

Jan McFarland

Tawny Tesconi

Monthly Meetings on the 4th Thursday Except for December

Meetings begin at 5:00 pm

<u> 2022</u>

January 27

February 24

March 24

April 28

May 26

June 23

July 28*

August 25*

September 22

October 27

November 24 (Taking off for Holiday)

December 8 (Two weeks early for Holiday)

^{*}Committee will collectively decide which month to cancel for summer break

2022 OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Jan McFarland	916-346-7578	First District
1650 East Napa St., Sonoma, CA 95476	janmcfarland@icloud.com	Term Expires: 2/2022
Kristina Tierney	kristinatierney@gmail.com	First District Term Expires: 8/2022
Paul Martin	707-763-8874	Second District
8090 Valley Ford Road Petaluma, CA 94952	xchief9600@gmail.com	Term Expires: 4/2023
Sue Conley	415-509-5774	Second District
619 Prospect Street, Petaluma, CA 94952	sue@cowgirlcreamery.com	Term Expires: 1/2023
Steve Rabinowitsh 1127 Spring Street	707-799-8700 steverabino1@gmail.com	Third District Term Expires: 4/2023
Michelle Whitman (Chair) PO Box 3531, Santa Rosa, CA 95402	707-477-5653 michellewhitman5@gmail.com	Third District Term Expires: 4/2022
Bill Smith	707-508-6190	Fourth District
1401 Big Ridge Rd., Healdsburg, 95448	bigridge@sonic.net	Term Expires: 12/2018
Jesús Guzmán	707-483-2874	Fourth District
125 Summer Wheat Dr, Windsor, CA 95492	jesús.guzmán07@gmail.com	Term Expires: 11/23
Wendy Eliot	707-477-9027	Fifth District
2838 Lynn Rd, Sebastopol, CA 95472	wendyeliot@gmail.com	Term Expires: 9/2023
John Nagle	707-431-5391	Fifth District
P.O. Box 326, Graton, CA 95444	jnagle@sonic.net	Term Expires: 3/2023
Neysa Hinton, Sebastopol City Council	707-495-9087	Mayors' and Councilmembers'
7201 Bodega Ave, Sebastopol, CA 95472	nhinton@cityofsebastopol.org	Term Expires: 2/2023
Vacant		Mayors' and Councilmembers' Term Expires:
Brian Barnacle	707-373-6414	Mayors' and Councilmembers'
11 English St, Petaluma, CA 94952	bbarnacle@cityofpetaluma.org	Term Expires: 2/2023
Don McEnhill (Vice Chair)	707- 433-1958	Environmental
PO Box 1335, Healdsburg, CA 95448	don@russianriverkeeper.org	Term Expires: 8/2023
Cary Fargo	707-484-6158	Real Estate
PO Box 364, Graton, CA 95444	cfargo@sonic.net	Term Expires: 8/2023
Tawny Tesconi	707-480-6947	Agriculture
3912 Walker Ave, Santa Rosa, CA 95407	<u>tawny@sonomafb.org</u>	Term Expires: 9/2023
Curt Nichols (Chair Pro Tem) 15 Third St, Santa Rosa, CA 95403	707-480-2361 cnichols@carlilemacy.com	Business Term Expires: 2/2020



MEMORANDUM

Date: January 21, 2022

To: Ag + Open Space Advisory Committee

From: Allison Schichtel, Senior Conservation Planner

c: Jennifer Kuszmar, Acquisition Manager, and Misti Arias, General Manager

Subject: Draft Project Evaluation Materials for Review and Discussion

Project Evaluation Materials for Review

The enclosed materials contain draft evaluation criteria based on the Vital Lands Initiative that will be discussed during the January 27, 2022, Advisory Committee meeting. Once finalized, Ag + Open Space will use these criteria as part of a larger project evaluation process to prioritize and select new acquisition projects.

Note that we are intending to use these criteria to evaluate projects for which we have received an application. We may develop additional criteria or use a subset of the project evaluation criteria for the purposes of proactive solicitation or for a specific initiative (e.g., buy-protect-resell program).

We've used a similar set of criteria to evaluate and select projects historically, and are now in the process of updating the criteria and other materials to reflect the goals, objectives, and other direction in the Vital Lands Initiative.

Guidelines for Review

Please review the enclosed materials in advance of the January 27 meeting and be prepared to discuss the following:

- Are there different or additional criteria/sets of rank values that we should use to evaluate
 whether a project meets a specific Vital Lands objective? (Note: rank values are the amount of
 points assigned based on a project meeting certain criteria)
- Do you recommend any changes to the rank values? If so, why?
- Are there other information sources (i.e., specific datasets) that we should be considering?
- Any other comments or suggestions?

Some tips on navigating the draft evaluation criteria:

- There is a set of criteria for each Vital Lands Initiative goal (Agricultural Lands,
 Community Identity, Healthy Communities, Water, and Wildlands), as indicated in the
 top line of each page. In addition, there are separate criteria related to co-benefits (e.g.,
 extreme-event and climate change adaptation and resiliency), and other criteria in the
 "Implementing the Vision" section of Vital Lands (including, subdivision potential and
 risk of conversion, among others).
- For criteria specific to Vital Lands goals, we've included the objective language from
 Vital Lands in the second column. In some cases, we are using multiple criteria to
 evaluate a single objective; under these circumstances, you'll see the objective language
 followed by a "-" clarifying specifically which component of the objective is being
 addressed.

If you are able to provide comments in advance of the meeting or have any questions, please send to Jennifer Kuszmar at Jennifer.Kuszmar@sonoma-county.org

We look forward to the discussion and thank you for your input.

AGRICUL	TURAL LANDS CRITERIA		
Criterion			
Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source
Α	Protect the highest priority grazing lands	1 = >400 acres grazing land on property	GIS analysis
	and croplands - evaluate based on extent	0.8 = 200-400 acres of grazing land on property	
	of grazing area	0.6 = 100-200 acres of grazing land on property	
		0.4 = 50-100 acres of grazing land on property	
		0.2 = 25-50 acres of grazing land on property	
		0 = <25 acres of grazing land on property	
В	Protect the highest priority grazing lands	1 = > 10 acres priority cropland on property	GIS analysis
ł	and croplands - evaluate based on extent	0.75 = 5-10 acres priority cropland	
	of croplands	0.5 = 2-5 acres priority cropland on property	
		0.25 = <2 acres priority cropland on property	
		0 = No priority cropland	İ
C	Protect the highest priority grazing lands	1 = Has at least one registered water right, well permit, spring box, or	Lanowner application
	and croplands - evaluate based on long-	access to municipal water	
	term viability vis-a-vis water availability	0.5 = Does not have any of the above, but has done a test for the	
ĺ		installation of a new well	
		0 = Does not have access to water	
D	Protect the highest priority grazing lands	1 = >50% of property has slopes <15%	GIS analysis
	and croplands - evaluate based on long-	0.5 = 25-50% of property has slopes <15%	
	term viability vis-a-vis topography	0 = <25% of property has slopes <15%	
F	Post state high state in the construction leads		
E		1 = Property contributes >X area towards meeting target of X acres of	GIS analysis
	and croplands - evaluate based on	Excellent and Good soils (per the CA Storie Index)	
	quality of agricultural soils for cultivation	0.75 = Property contributes X-X area towards meeting target of X acres of	1
		Excellent and Good soils	
		0.5 = Property contributes X-X area towards meeting target of X acres of	j
		Excellent and Good soils	
		0.25 = Property contributes X-X area towards meeting target of X acres of	
[Excellent and Good soils	
		0.25 = Property contributes <x acres="" area="" meeting="" of="" of<="" target="" td="" towards="" x=""><td></td></x>	
		Excellent and Good soils	

AGRICUL Criterion	TURAL LANDS CRITERIA		
Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source
F	Protect the highest priority grazing lands and croplands - evaluate based on adoption of best management practices	1 = Landowner or property manager has a management plan (e.g., carbon farm plan, grazing plan) that includes best practices 0.75 = Landowner or property manager is actively working with a qualified professional to develop a management plan 0.5 = Landowner or property manager has indicated interest in developing a management plan 0 = Landowner or property manager has no interest in developing a management plan	Landowner application (include check boxes for different types of plans)
G	Prioritize protection of land that has infrastructure and facilities for agricultural processing - on-site processing facility	1 = Property has operational on-site processing facility/facilities for agricultural products produced on the property 0.5 = Property has non-operational on-site processing facility/facilities for agricultural products produced on the property and landowner has submitted permits and procured funding for improvements OR landowner has submitted permits and have procured funding to build new facility 0 = Property does not have an on-site processing facility nor has the landowner expressed interest in building one	Landowner application
Н	Prioritize protection of land that has infrastructure and facilities for agricultural processing - community ag facility	1 = Property has operational facility that supports the larger agricultural community (e.g., community cold storage for meat or produce, meat processing, facility for producing value-added products, etc.) 0.5 = Property has non-operational facility and landowner has submitted permits and procured funding for improvements OR landowner has submitted permits and have procured funding to build new facility 0 = Property does not have such facility nor has the landowner expressed interest in building one	Landowner application

AGRICUL	AGRICULTURAL LANDS CRITERIA			
Criterion Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source	
I	Create a balanced portfolio of protected lands that represents the diverse types of agriculture in Sonoma County	1 = opportunity to protect an agricultural product that is underrepresented countywide according to the latest crop report OR opportunity to protect a property producing a diversity of agricultural products (NEEDS DEFINITION) 0 = crop(s) grown on property adequately represented countywide per the crop report OR property not producing a diversity of agricultural products	1 ''	
J	Connectivity of open spaces	1 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous protected (via conservation easement or County deed restriction) agricultural land >2000 acres 0.75 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous protected agricultural land 1000-2000 acres 0.5 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous protected agricultural land 500-1000 acres 0 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous protected agricultural land <500 acres	GIS analysis	
	Property size, where relevant to protecting identified conservation	(Proposing we not evaluate property size separately since this is captured inherently through criteria A and B)	GIS analysis	
	features			

COMMUN	COMMUNITY IDENTITY CRITERIA			
Criterion Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source	
А	Ensure that at least 50% of future projects under the Community Identity goal include agricultural use	1 = Property has active agricultural use and will help meet 50% requirement 0.5 = Property does not have active agricultural use, but the landowner has developed a farm/agriculture plan 0 = Property does not have any active agricultural use nor developed a farm/agriculture plan	Landowner application	
В	Protect unique and scenic landscapes	1 = Named peak or other unique and visible feature on property 0 = No named peak or unique and visible feature on property	GIS analysis & landowner application (and/or Project Evaluation Committee discussion)	
С		1 = >50% of property within priority greenbelt 0 = <50% of property within priority greenbelt	GIS analysis	
D	Protect lands that provide a visual relief from urbanized areas and highly traveled roads including the most visible ridgelines and mountaintops and valleys	0.5 = >50% property visible 0.25 = >25% of property visible	GIS analysis	

COMMUN	COMMUNITY IDENTITY CRITERIA			
Criterion Identifier	Other Community Identity Criteria	Rank Values	Information Source	
E	Property size, where relevant to	1 = Greenbelt property >100 acres in size	GIS analysis	
	protecting identified conservation	0.75 = Greenbelt property 50-100 acres in size		
	features	0.5 = Greenbelt property 25-50 acres in size		
		0.25 = Greenbelt property 10-25 acres in size		
		0 = Greenbelt property <10 acres in size		
F	Connectivity of open spaces	1 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous	GIS analysis	
		protected greenbelt land >1000 acres		
		0.75 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous		
		protected greenbelt land 500-1000 acres		
		0.5 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous		
		protected greenbelt land 250-500 acres		
		0 = Protection of property would result in a block of contiguous		
		protected greenbelt land <250 acres		

	COMMUNITIES CRITERIA		
Criterion			
Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source
		1 = Property has been identified by recreation partner as having a priority	
		trail alignment that will link to an existing trail system AND landowner or	
		conservation buyer has expressed interest in a trail easement or rec	
		0.75 = Property has been identified by recreation partner as having a priority	
		trail alignment that does not currently link to an existing trail system AND	
	Acquire and convey trail easements in areas	landowner or conservation buyer has expressed interest in a trail easement	
	where regional or local trails are officially	or rec covenant	
	identified by recreational partners,	0 = Property has not been identified by recreation partner as having a	
	particularly when such areas can link to	priority trail alignment and/or landowner or conservation buyer is not	GIS analysis & landowner
Α	existing segments of trail	interested in coveying a trail easement or rec covenant	application
		1 = Property has the potential to provide a trail connection between urban	
		communities (as identified in the MGP project area map) AND landowner or	
		conservation buyer has expressed interest in a trail easement or rec	
		covenant	
		0 = Property does not have the potential to provide a trail connection and/or	
		landowner or conservation buyer is not interested in conveying a trail	GIS analysis & landowner
В	through open space investments.	easement or rec covenant	application
		1 = Property has the the potential to create a new park or open space	
İ		preserve that will be open to the public OR create an access point to	
		identified water trails	
		0.75 = Property has the potential to expand an existing park or open space	
	Consider opportunities on future	preserve OR to create a new park or open space preserve that will have	
		limited access (i.e., docent led tours, limited hours)	
	new parks and open space preserves, trails,	0 = Property does not have the potential to expand an existing or create a	
С	or access points to identified water trails.	new park or open space preserve	GIS analysis

HEALTH	HEALTHY COMMUNITIES CRITERIA			
Criterion Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source	
	Partner to provide funding for a diverse portfolio of community open spaces, supporting the protection of conservation features and the connection of urbanized			
-	areas with natural and agricultural landscapes.	Question: this applies to the Matching Grant Program - do we need a separate criterion here for general project evaluation purposes?		
		1 = Property has recreation potential and is in an area identified as having a park need (e.g., $>1/2$ mile from existing community open space and with		
	Partner with public agencies and non-profit organizations to ensure that all communities	population X or greater) 0 = Property either does not have recreation potential or is not in an area	GIS analysis (pending Park Equity analysis with	
D	have open space to enjoy	identified as having a park need	Regional Parks)	

WATER C	WATER CRITERIA			
Criterion Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source	
		1 = Property supports riparian habitat and >50% of riparian area is dominated by native vegetation		
		0.5 = Property supports riparian habitat and <50% is dominated by native vegetation; landowner is interested in restoration (either passive or active)		
		0 = Property does not support riparian habitat or property supports riparian habitat		
	Protect the highest priority riparian	with <50% dominated by native vegetation and landowner is not interested in	GIS analysis &	
Α	corridors - riparian corridors	restoration (active or passive)	landowner application	
		1 = >90% of property is within a headwater source area or property constitues >10% of the headwater source area for a HUC12 watershed		
		0.5 = 50-90% of property is within a headwater source area or property constitues >5%		
	Protect the highest priority riparian	of the headwater source area for a HUC12 watershed		
	corridors and headwater streams -	0 = <50% of property is within a headwater source area and property constitues <5% of		
В	headwater streams	the headwater source area for a HUC12 watershed	GIS analysis	
		1 = Property supports high or medium-high priority wetland		
	Protect the highest priority wetlands,	0.75 = Property supports medium priority wetland		
	including estuaries and marshes,	0.5 = Property supports medium-low priority wetland		
	vernal pools, and other freshwater	0.25 = Property supports low priority wetland		
С	wetlands	0 = No wetlands on property identified	GIS analysis	

WATER C	WATER CRITERIA			
Criterion				
Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Information Source	
D	Protect the highest priority	1 = >50% of property has high groundwater recharge (exceeds watershed median or	GIS analysis	
	groundwater basins and recharge	countywide median) based on CA Basin Characterization Model or based on more local		
	areas.	groundwater models, where available		
		0.5 = >25% of property has high groundwater recharge (exceeds watershed median or		
		countywide median) based on CA Basin Characterization Model or based on more local		
		groundwater models, where available		
		0 = <25% of property has high groundwater recharge (exceeds watershed median or		
		countywide median) based on CA Basin Characterization Model or based on more local		
		groundwater models, where available		
		OR		
:		1 = Property contributes >X mm recharge/year towards meeting target of X mm		
		recharge/year		
		0.75 = Property contributes X-X mm recharge/year towards meeting target of X mm		
		recharge/year		
		0.5 = Property contributes X-X mm recharge/year towards meeting target of X mm		
		recharge/year		
		0.25 = Property contributes X-X mm recharge/year towards meeting target of X mm		
		recharge/year		
		0.25 = Property contributes <x meeting="" mm="" mm<="" of="" recharge="" target="" td="" towards="" x="" year=""><td></td></x>		
		recharge/vear		
E	Protect the highest priority	1 = >50% of property is over a high priority groundwater basin	GIS analysis	
	groundwater basins and recharge	0.75 = >50% of property is over a medium priority groundwater basin or 0- 50% of		
	areas.	property is over a high priority groundwater basin		
		0.5 = >50% of property is over a very low priority groundwater basin or 0-50% of		
		property is over a medium priority groundwater basin		
		0.25 = 0-50% of property is over a very low priority groundwater basin		
		0 = property does not lie above groundwater basin		

WATER C	WATER CRITERIA								
Criterion Identifier	Vital Lands Objective Rank Values Inf								
	Protect the highest priority aquatic								
	habitats and associated upland areas	(Proposing to combine evaluation of terrestrial and aquatic habitat for special-status							
	that support rare, unique, or special-	plants and animals via Wildlands criterion C; could alternatively look at whether							
F	status aquatic plants and animals.	property is in watershed that's been identified as)	GIS analysis						
		Need to formulate criteria to address multiple definitions of connectivity. Some							
		considerations based on feedback received thus far:							
		- Connections with protected riparian corridors							
		- In-stream habitat (pools, side-channel habitat, substrate habitat)							
		- Streamflow							
	Protect connected aquatic habitats	- Fish passage barriers							
	and the lands that support this	- Floodplain connectivity							
G	connectivity.								
		1 = Water & Wildlands property >2000 acres in size							
		0.75 = Water & Wildlands property 1000-2000 acres in size							
	Property size, where relevant to	0.5 = Water & Wildlands property 500-1000 acres in size							
l	protecting identified conservation	0.25 = Water & Wildlands property 250-500 acres in size	CIC an aboria						
H	features	0 = Water & Wildlands property <250 acres in size	GIS analysis						
		1 = The property connects to (i.e., is adjacent to or connects multiple) other protected							
		lands in a way that will enhance Water and Wildlife conservation values (e.g., protection							
		of entire wetland or significant stretch of stream; expanded protection of Rank 1 plant							
		communities, critical habitat, or any high priority values identified in previous criteria)							
		0.5 = The property connects to other protected lands, but protection of the property							
		will not enhance Water and Wildlife conservation values	GIS analysis & internal						
1	Connectivity of open spaces	0 = The property does not connect to other protected lands	discussion						

WILDLANDS CRITERIA							
Criterion			Information				
Identifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values	Source				
Α	Protect the highest priority old-growth and	1 = Property contributes >X area towards meeting target of X acres of Rank 1 plant	GIS analysis				
	mature hardwood and conifer forests.	communities					
		0.75 = Property contributes X-X area towards meeting target of X acres of Rank 1					
	Protect the highest priority oak woodlands,	plant communities OR property contributes >X area towards meeting target of X					
	shrublands, grassland and other non-	acres of Rank 2 plant communities					
	woody vegetation.	0.5 = Property contributes X-X area towards meeting target of X acres of Rank 2					
		plant communities OR property contributes >X area towards meeting target of X					
	4	acres of Rank 3 plant communities					
	√	0.25 = Property contributes X-X area towards meeting target of X acres of Rank 3					
		plant communities					
		0 = Property contributes <x 3="" acres="" area="" meeting="" of="" plant<="" rank="" target="" td="" towards="" x=""><td></td></x>					
		communities					
В	Protect rare, unique, or particularly diverse	1 = Property supports particularly rare, unique, or diverse plant community (not	GIS analysis				
	plant communities.	reflected in previous criteria)					
		0 = Property does not support particularly rare, unique, or diverse plant community					
С	Protect the highest priority habitats for	1 = Property has USFWS-designated critical habitat for special status species OR	GIS analysis				
	rare, unique, or special-status terrestrial	known occurrence of threatened and endangered species (per CNDDB) OR hosts a					
	plants and animals	California tiger salamander (CTS) breeding site OR is within CTS extant population					
		area					
		0.75 = Known occurrence of S1 (critically imperiled), S1S2, or S1S3 ranked species on					
		property					
		0.5 = Known occurrence of S2 (imperiled), S2S3, S3 (vulnerable), or S3S4 ranked					
		species on property OR has high habitat suitability per CWHR predicted habitat					
		model					
		0.25 = Known occurrence of S4 (apparently secure) ranked species on property					
		0 = Unknown or no threatened, endangered, or species of special concern on					
		property					

Criterion			Information				
dentifier	Vital Lands Objective	Rank Values Need input from others on what information sources we should consult to evaluate this objective. Some considerations based on feedback we've received thus far (and that aren't captured in other criteria): -CDFW Statewide Terrestrial and Aquatic Biodiversity Summaries; Areas of Conservation Emphasis (ACE) (native species richness, rare species richness, irreplaceability) -Nature Serve Map of Biodiversity Importance (richness of at-risk species, based on habitat models) -Topo-climatic diversity					
D	Protect lands critical for supporting high native biodiversity.						
	**	-Diverse geological and/or soils (e.g., serpentine)					
E	Protect critical wildlife movement corridors and intact habitat areas.	1 = >50% of property is part of Bay Area Critical Linkages network or property encompasses the entire width of a network OR >50% of property is classified as having channelized connectivity or encompasses the entire width of an area of channelized connectivity OR is identified as being a priority in local wildlife corridor mapping projects (e.g., Merenlender study, Pepperwood M2B study) 0.75 = >50% of property has intensified or diffuse connectivity OR 0-50% of property is part of Bay Area Critical Linkages network OR 0-50% of property is classified as having channelized connectivity 0.5 = 0-50% of property has intensified or diffuse connectivity 0.25 = 0-50% of property has land use that may restrict movement 0 = property has land use that impedes movement	GIS analysis				
	Property size, where relevant to protecting identified conservation features	(Proposing to evaluate in combination with Water goal)					
	Connectivity of open spaces	(Proposing to evaluate in combination with Water goal)					

CO-BENEFITS CRITERIA							
Criterion Identifier	Co-Benefit Category	Information Source					
Α	Climate Change & Extreme Events - Resilient and Connected Network	1 = >50% of property is more or slightly more resilient to climate change (per TNC's Resilient Sites layer) 0.5 = >50% of property is average or slightly less resilient to climate change OR 0-50% of property is is more or slightly more resilient to climate change 0.25 = >50% of property is less or least resilient to climate change OR 0-50% of property is average or slightly less resilient to climate change 0 = >50% of property is least resilient to climate change	GIS analysis				
В	Climate Change & Extreme Events - Wildfire	1 = Property is part of a strategically placed fuel buffer zone between wildlands and at-risk communities OR >50% of property is classified as having a high or very high relative wildfire hazard index per Sonoma County Wildfire Hazard Index 0.5 = >50% of property is classified as having a low or moderate relative wildfire hazard index OR 0-50% is classified as having a high or very high relative wildfire hazard index 0 = Property does not meet criteria above	GIS analysis				
С	Climate Change & Extreme Events - Flood	1 = Property is in a flood-prone area and protection would minimize the number of homes that are at risk of damage and destruction during a flood OR property has a significant floodplain (or plan for restoration of floodplain), protection of which would minimize downstream impacts from flooding 0 = Property does not meet criteria above	GIS analysis				

CO-BENEF	CO-BENEFITS CRITERIA								
Criterion Identifier	Co-Benefit Category	Information Source							
D	Climate Change & Extreme Events - Drought	1 = Landowner has invested in enhancing soil water storage capacity (e.g. is part of a healthy soils program, has carbon farm plan, dry farms, and/or has no-till practices) or has implemented other water storage systems (e.g., rainwater catchment systems) 0.5 = Landowner or property manager is actively working with a qualified professional to develop a plan to enhance soil water storage capacity or implement other water storage systems 0.25 = Landower or property managers had indicated interest iin enhancing soil water storage capacity or implementing other water storage systems 0 = Landowner or property manager has no interest in enhancing soil water storage capacity or implementing other water storage systems	Landowner application						
E	Climate Change & Extreme Events - Sea Level Rise	1 = Property is within the historic bay margin and there is a potential for restoration OR property is outside the historic and future bay margin and could provide room for marsh migration 0 = Property does not meet criterion above	GIS analysis & landowner application						
F	Climate Change & Extreme Events - Carbon Sequestration	1 = Property contributes >X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e towards meeting target of X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e 0.75 = Property contributes X-X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e towards meeting target of X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e 0.5 = Property contributes X-X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e towards meeting target of X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e 0.25 = Property contributes X-X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e towards meeting target of X metric tonnes of CO ₂ e 0.25 = Property contributes <x co<sub="" metric="" of="" tonnes="">2e towards meeting target of X metric tonnes of CO₂e</x>	GIS analysis						

OTHER V	ITAL LANDS CRITERIA								
Criterion									
Identifier	Category	Rank Values	Information Source						
Α	Threat/Risk of Loss - Subdivision	Risk of Loss - Subdivision 1 = Based on current zoning and ACCs, property can be subdivided into >10							
	potential								
		0.75 = Property can be subdivided into 5-10 additional lots							
	1	0.5 = Property can be subdivided into 3-4 additional lots							
		0.25 = Property can be subdivided into 1-2 additional lots							
		0 = Property cannot be subdivided							
В	Threat/Risk of Loss - Conversion	1 = Conversion of open space to development or more intensive use is present	GIS analysis						
	Trends	near property (e.g., within 1/4 mile)							
		0 = No known nearby conversion							
С	Threat/Risk of Loss - Risk of	1 = Property at risk of conversion (e.g., landowner has submitted an application for	Landowner application and/or						
	conversion	subdivision, property is on the market, landowner has had a vineyard study	records search						
		conducted)							
		0 = Property not as risk of conversion							
D	General Plan 2020 Alignment -	1 = Any portion of property is within a General Plan Community Separator or	GIS analysis						
	Community Separators & Scenic	Scenic Landscape Unit or >50% of property is visible from within 2 miles of a							
	Landscape Units	General Plan Scenic Corridor							
		0 = No portion of property is within a General Plan Community Separator or Scenic							
		Landscape Unit or <50% of property is visible from within 2 miles of a General Plan							
		Scenic Corridor							
E	General Plan 2020 Alignment -	1 = Property is adjacent to a General Plan Scenic Corridor	GIS analysis						
	Scenic Corridor	0 = Property is not adjacent to a General Plan Scenic Corridor							
F	Equitable Distribution - Maintain a	(Proposing we evaluate on an annual basis the geographic distribution of our	GIS analysis						
	geographic balance in portfolio of	projects (perhaps defining "stratification units" different depending on the							
	protected lands	objective or conservation value) and determine if there is a gap; if so, consider							
		assigning higher priority to projects within that region over the next year)							
G	Equitable Distribution - Maintain a	(Proposing we evaluate on an annual basis the balance of benefits received by the	GIS analysis						
	balance in benefits received by	community and determine if there is a gap; if so, consider assigning higher priority							
	the community through	to projects that provide that benefit over the next year. Will need to come up with							
	open space protection	a list of benefits to evaluate and the metrics by which we will measure balance							
		(e.g., water supply and water quality benefits relative to human community							
		reliance)							

Criterion	ITAL LANDS CRITERIA		
	Category	Rank Values	Information Source
Н	Opportunity to Leverage Funds	1 = Grant or partner funding has been identified for this project	Internal discussion
		0 = Grant or partner funding has not been identified for this proejct	
	Vital Lands Networks - Croplands	1 = >50% of property is within Cropland Network areas classified as "Essential for	GIS analysis
	Network	Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0.5 = >50% of property is within Cropland Network areas classified as "Important	
		for Meeting Conservation Goals" OR 0-50% of property is classified as "Essential	
		for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0.25 = 0-50% of property is within Cropland Network areas classified as	
		"Important for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0 = No portion of property is within the Croplands Network	
J	Vital Lands Networks - Grazing	1 = >50% of property is within Grazing Lands Network areas classified as "Essential	GIS analysis
	Lands Network	for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0.5 = >50% of property is within Grazing Lands Network areas classified as	
		"Important for Meeting Conservation Goals" OR 0-50% of property is classified as	
		"Essential for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0.25 = 0-50% of property is within Grazing Lands Network areas classified as	
		"Important for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0 = No portion of property is within the Grazing Lands Network	
К	Vital Lands Networks - Greenbelts	1 = >50% of property is within Greenbelts Network areas classified as "Essential for	GIS analysis
	Network	Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0.5 = >50% of property is within Greenbelts Network areas classified as "Important	
		for Meeting Conservation Goals" OR 0-50% of property is classified as "Essential	
		for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0.25 = 0-50% of property is within Greenbelts Network areas classified as	
		"Important for Meeting Conservation Goals"	
		0 = No portion of property is within the Greenbelts Network	

OTHER V	OTHER VITAL LANDS CRITERIA								
Criterion									
Identifier	Category	Information Source							
L	Vital Lands Networks - Biodiversity Network	1 = >50% of property is within Biodiversity Network areas classified as "Essential for Meeting Conservation Goals" 0.5 = >50% of property is within Biodiversity Network areas classified as "Important for Meeting Conservation Goals" OR 0-50% of property is classified as "Essential for Meeting Conservation Goals" 0.25 = 0-50% of property is within Biodiversity Network areas classified as "Important for Meeting Conservation Goals" 0 = No portion of property is within the Biodiversity Network	GIS analysis						
М	Vital Lands Networks - Groundwater Recharge Network		GIS analysis						

			Approximated District Acquisition than Cate	А			
		,	Acquisitor Paricate	%°.			process Reseline Comments
			rovill Joseph Stanton			/ss/	0625 0358111
ć		\ re/or	ikon'i		/5		Act and the state of the state
RROHEC!	/ 😅	20%	arpery acquist		Project P	oprati ,	process Basaine Comments
					<u>' '</u>	<u> </u>	
Abril Ranch	1,929	4	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas	Х			Project Structure phase
Armstrong Redwoods State Natural Reserv	320	5	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas	Х			Project Structure phase
Baumert Springs	372	5	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas				Initiating project
Big Sulphur Creek (Krasilsa)	507	4	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas				Initiating project
Correia	146	2	Farms & Ranches				Initiating project
Deniz (Old Adobe Road)	217	2	Farms & Ranches	х			Project Structure phase
Deniz (Sonoma Mountain Road)	355	2	Farms & Ranches	х			Project Structure phase
Denner Ranches	489	4	Farms & Ranches	х			Negotiating CE
Diamond W Ranch	849	2	Farms & Ranches	х			Initiating project
El Recreo	289	1	Greenbelts & Scenic Hillside	х	х	х	To BOD for approval 1/25/22
Lafranchi (Laguna)	127	4	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas	х			Drafting CE
Limping Turkey Ranch	158	2	Farms & Ranches				Initiating project
Mark West Wikiup Preserve	31	4	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas	х	х		Appraisal process
McClelland Dairy	337	2	Farms & Ranches	х			Negotiating CE
McCormick Ranch - Regional Parks	253	1	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas	х	х		Appraisal process
Miguel-Tocci	489	5	Farms & Ranches				Initiating project
Petersen Rd Dairy	96	2	Farms & Ranches				Initiating project
Preston Farm	133	4	Farms & Ranches	х			Project Structure phase
Riebli Family Dairy	139	2	Farms & Ranches	х			Project Structure phase
Rincon Hills	218	1	Greenbelts & Scenic Hillside				Initiating project
Rowland Mack	168	1	Greenbelts & Scenic Hillside	х			Project Structure phase
Russian River Habitat Restoration	63	4	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas				Initiating project
Ryan Ranch	806	5	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas				Initiating project
Saddle Mountain Open Space Preserve – L	266	1	Recreation & Education	х	Х		CE drafting; Fee appraisal reviewed
Soda Springs Ranch Open Space Preserve	209	4	Recreation & Education	х			Project Structure phase
Sonoma Developmental Center 5 (Transfo	945	1	Greenbelts & Scenic Hillside				Project Structure phase
Sonoma Mountain Vernal Pools	174	1	Recreation & Education	х			Project Structure phase
Sweetwater Springs - Monte Rio	319	5	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas				Initiating project
Witt Home Ranch	395	2	Farms & Ranches				Initiating project
Wolf Creek Ranch	1,195	5	Water, Wildlife & Natural Areas				Initiating project

Total Acres: 11,994

Matching Grant	, Ret	eage lat	Aportinate District Location		Bein of	ant Proc	es proes	stagedine Comments
A Place to Play	87	5	Western Santa Rosa					Initiating project
AmeriCorps Trail	12	5	Sebastopol	Х	n/a	х		Negotiating CE, Rec Covenant
Andy's Unity Park	4	5	Southwest Santa Rosa	Х				Escrow closed in 2017. Tracking match
Badger Park	20	4	Healdsburg					Initiating project
Bayer Farm Development***	6**	5	Southwest Santa Rosa	Х	х	х	Х	Reimbursement ongoing, Grant extended
Bodega Bay Trail	179	5	Bodega Bay					Initiating project
Colgan Creek Phase 3 MG***	7	5	Southwest Santa Rosa	Х	n/a	х	Х	Extension granted to 10/14/21
Crane Creek Regional Trail	6	1	East of Rohnert Park	х	n/a			Drafting docs. Grant extended to 12/6/21
Denman Reach	2	2	North Petaluma	х	n/a			Drafting documents
Falletti Ranch	4	2	Cotati	х	х	х	Х	Tracking match
Forever Forestville***	4	5	Downtown Forestville	х	х	х	Х	Tracking match
Graton Green	1	5	Downtown Graton	х	х	х		Escrow closed April 5, 2019. Tracking match.
Guerneville River Park Phase 2***	5	5	Central Guerneville	х	х	х		Processing extension request
Healdsburg Montage Park	36	4	North Healdsburg					Initiating project
Helen Putnam Regional Park Extension	56	2	Petaluma					Initiating project
Keiser Park Expansion 2	2	4	Windsor					Initiating project
Maxwell Farms	79	1	Northwest of Sonoma	х	n/a			Drafting Documents
Paula Lane Open Space Preserve	11	2	West Petaluma	х	х	х	Х	Tracking match
Petaluma River Park	20	2	Petaluma					Initiating project
River Lane***	1	5	West of Guerneville	х	х	х	Х	Finalizing docs; Grant extended to 10/25/24
Roseland Creek Community Park - Phase 1	3	5	Southwest Santa Rosa	х	х			Negotiating CE, Rec Covenant
SMART Pathway – Hearn to Bellevue*	6	5	Southwest Santa Rosa	Х	n/a	х		Finalizing documents
SMART Pathway - Payran to Southpoint	14	2	Petaluma	х	n/a			Negotiating CE, Rec Covenant
Southeast Santa Rosa Greenway***	61	1	Southeast Santa Rosa	Х		х		Initiating project; extended to 10/25/24
Steamer Landing Park Development (McN	27**	2	Downtown Petaluma	х	n/a			Initiating project
Taylor Mountain Regional Park & Open Sp	54	3	Southeast Santa Rosa	х				Closed 4/1/20. Tracking match
Tierra de Rosas***	1	5	Southwest Santa Rosa	Х	n/a			Drafting documents
Total Acres:	674							

* District approved a 2-year extension

^{**} Restoration/Development Project on previous acquisition.

^{***} District approved 5-year extension (MGP 2 year, fire 3 year)

Rankster	A.C.F	Lake as	provincial district	Transation Type	/•	project (esilli p	oprovate Construction of the Construction of t	person Comments
Saddle Mountain Open Space Preserve	960	1	Transfer						Initiating project
Tierra Vegetables	15	4	Resale	·	Х				Resale
Young-Armos	56	5	Transfer/Sale		Х				Initiating project

Total Acres: 1,031